IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

AFFYMETRIX, INC., a Delaware corporation,)
Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,)
V.) Civil Action No.: 04-901 JJF
ILLUMINA, INC., a Delaware corporation,)
Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff.)
)

ILLUMINA, INC.'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Illumina, Inc. submits the attached Proposed Verdict Form, in triplicate and on disc in WordPerfect format.

/s/ Richard K. Herrmann

Richard K. Herrmann (#405) MORRIS JAMES LLP 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302) 888 6800 rherrmann@morrisjames.com

Robert G. Krupka, P.C. Mark A. Pals, P.C. Marcus E. Sernel KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 200 East Randolph Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 861 2000

Attorneys for Illumina, Inc.

PATENT ISSUES

U.S. PATENT NO. 5,795,716 ("THE '716 PATENT")

1.	infringed any of the following claims of the '716 patent?			
	Claim 1	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for II	lumina)
	Claim 5	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for II	lumina)
	Claim 9	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
2.	•		of the evidence that III t under the doctrine of e	
	Claim 1	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
	Claim 5	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
	Claim 9	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
3.	-	• • •	ance of the evidence claims of the '716 paten	
	Claim 1	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
	Claim 5	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
	Claim 9	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
4.	•	ven by a preponderance of the following claims	e of the evidence that I of the '716 patent?	llumina has induced
	Claim 1	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
	Claim 5	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
	Claim 9	Yes (for Affym	etrix) No (for III	lumina)
5.			n of the '716 patent, stat and services you	

U.S. PATENT NO. 6,355,432 ("THE '432 PATENT")

6.	Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina has literally infringed any of the following claims of the '432 patent?			
	Claim 2 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)			
	Claim 9 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)			
7.	If you found that Illumina infringes any claim of the '432 patent, state separately for each claim the specific Illumina products and services you find to infringe:			

U.S. PATENT NO. 6,646,243 ("THE '243 PATENT")

8.	Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina has literall infringed any of the following claims of the '243 patent?		
	Claim 14 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
	Claim 15 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
	Claim 35 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
9.	Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina contributorily infringed any of the following claims of the '243 patent?		
	Claim 35 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
10. Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina has infringement of any of the following claims of the '243 patent?			
	Claim 35 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
11.	If you found that Illumina infringes any claim of the '243 patent, state separately for each claim the specific Illumina products and services you find to infringe:		

U.S. PATENT NO. 6,399,365 ("THE '365 PATENT")

12.	Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina has literally infringed any of the following claims of the '365 patent?		
	Claim 36 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
	Claim 41 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
13.	Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina has contributorily infringed any of the following claims of the '365 patent?		
	Claim 41 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
14.	Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina has inducinfringement of any of the following claims of the '365 patent?		
	Claim 41 Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)		
15.	If you found that Illumina infringes any claim of the '365 patent, state separately for each claim the specific Illumina products and services you find to infringe:		

U.S. PATENT NO. 5,545,531 ("THE '531 PATENT)

16.	Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Illumina has literally infringed any of the following claims of the '531 patent?		
	Claim 1 Y	Yes (for Affymetrix)	No (for Illumina)
	Claim 2 Y	Yes (for Affymetrix)	No (for Illumina)
17. Has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence contributorily infringed any of the following claims of the '531 pater			
	Claim 1 Y	Yes (for Affymetrix)	No (for Illumina)
	Claim 2 Y	Yes (for Affymetrix)	No (for Illumina)
18.	• •	n by a preponderance of the the following claims of the '	e evidence that Illumina has induced 531 patent?
	Claim 1 Y	Yes (for Affymetrix)	No (for Illumina)
	Claim 2 Y	Yes (for Affymetrix)	No (for Illumina)
19.	-	<u> </u>	e '531 patent, state separately for each services you find to infringe:

DAMAGES

	Yes (for Affymetrix) No (for Illumina)
	Illumina products/services:
21.	If you answered "Yes" to the above question, what amount of lost profits has Affymetrix proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to?
	Amount \$
22.	For each infringing Illumina product/service that Affymetrix has not sought lost profits damages for or for which you conclude that Affymetrix is not entitled to lost profits damages, specify the reasonable royalty rate and total infringing sales volume that Affymetrix has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to? Illumina product/service:
	Royalty rate:%
	Infringing sales volume: \$
	Illumina product/service:
	Royalty rate:%
	Infringing sales volume: \$
	Illumina product/service:
	Royalty rate:%
	Infringing sales volume: \$

You must each sign this Verdict For	rm:	Dated:
	_(foreperson)	
	-	
	_	